Welcome to the new normal: 13-year-olds dying from cardiac arrest Cardiac arrest is very rare for people under 30. Today, 13-year-olds dying from cardiac arrest are pretty common. The one thing they all have in common: recent vaccination with the COVID vaccines.

Steve Kirsch Jan 7

Before the COVID vaccines, cardiac arrest = very rare

Before the COVID vaccines, it was very rare for a healthy 13-old-year to die from a heart attack.

After the COVID vaccines, cardiac arrest = not so rare

Now, with the rollout of the COVID vaccines, it is becoming commonplace.

Remember Jacob Clynick, the 13-year old from Minnesota who died of cardiac arrest on June 20, 2021, just 3 days after his second Pfizer shot?

Here's his VAERS record memorializing his death. Here's the Defender story that appeared shortly after his death.

Fast forward 6 months later, there is still no investigation into his death! See this story which appeared in the Defender yesterday. It references emails which indicate that the autopsy had been completed prior to June 28, 2021. Silence from the CDC regarding the cause of death. Seriously?!?!? Jacob dies just 3 days after the jab due to cardiac arrest and six months later, despite the myocarditis link that they admit, they cannot figure this one out? WTF? Where is the accountability?

Ernest Ramirez's son died of cardiac arrest as well, but he was 16. Dr. Peter McCullough looked at the death records and determined the death was caused by the vaccine. No acknowledgement from the CDC. Again, where is the accountability? Does the CDC have a better qualified expert than Peter McCullough? Did McCullough goof? Well, we don't know because nobody is talking.

I'm pretty sure there isn't any accountability left at the CDC. They completely glossed over the fact that the causes of death of the 14 kids (age 12-17) reported in VAERS were totally unusual. Five of the 14 kids died from cardiac arrest. That's not normal, but they didn't say anything.

Another 13-year-old bites the dust from cardiac arrest after vaccination

For the record, here's the latest healthy 13-year-old to die from a heart attack.

Although this happened 6 months after his second shot, as we learned from the Bhakdi study, death from the vaccine can still happen 6 months after the shot. And we learned from that study that unless you know what to look for, the medical examiner is almost sure to miss the cause of death.

How many kids have to die before they acknowledge that the vaccines are killing kids?

I just wanted to memorialize Jack's death so that it is not forgotten.

I hope that someday, someone will connect the dots and at least acknowledge that these kids were killed by the vaccine. Is that too much to ask?

This is going to keep happening until they halt the vaccines.

As I explained earlier, an estimated 800 kids have been killed by the COVID vaccines to date. We've already killed more kids from the vaccines than have died from COVID.

I'm forwarding my article to all of the CDC people involved in investigating Jacob's death. I doubt I'll hear anything back. But I wanted to make sure they are aware of all this. For the record.

Like

Comment

Share

You're a free subscriber to Steve Kirsch's newsletter. For the full experience, become a paid subscriber.

Subscribe

© 2022 Steve Kirsch Unsubscribe

548 Market Street PMB 72296, San Francisco, CA 94104

Begin forwarded message:

From: Steve Kirsch's newsletter <stevekirsch@substack.com>

Date: January 7, 2022 at 1:48:57 PM EST

To: brucha613@gmail.com

Subject: There is no stopping condition Reply-To: Steve Kirsch's newsletter

<reply+rueer&z6y98&&302d83e5088f8dfaf914ba59601ea346bbbb17b161cbf9156a7a0ef475976c6f@mg1.</pre>

substack.com>

There is no stopping condition

I asked the CDC for the stopping condition for the COVID vaccines: you know, like how many kids have to die before they pull the plug, ... Do you want to know what they said?

Steve Kirsch Jan 7

Remember Jacob Clynick, the 13-year old from Minnesota who died of cardiac arrest on June 20, 2021, just 3 days after his second Pfizer shot?

I sent an email to everyone at the CDC who was involved in the investigation of his death asking them what the stopping condition was for these vaccines. How many kids have to die? How many adults have to die? How many previously healthy Americans have to be permanently disabled?

I received no reply.

That's pretty much what I expected.

If you don't believe me, you are welcome to ask them yourself. You can find their emails here. If you do ask and get a response, please post their response in the comments.

But this tells you everything you need to know, doesn't it?

Ask your blue pill friends what the stopping condition is

If you are trying to convince your blue pill friends that there really is something very seriously wrong, simply ask them to tell you the stopping condition. If they don't find it troubling that they can't answer your question, then they are unreachable and you are wasting your time.

You don't need to be much of a critical thinker to figure this out:

Your safety is not their priority

Their priority is vaccinating everyone in America. Period.

There is no stopping condition. There never was one. Nobody in Congress will set a stopping condition either. Once everyone is vaccinated, then they do it again with a booster. And another booster. Each one makes you sicker and sicker.

By giving you a vaccine that compromises your immune system and makes you more susceptible to getting Omicron, they can keep the "emergency" alive so that the EUA can be renewed so that they can keep vaccinating you again and again.

See my earlier articles on negative vaccine efficacy for details. All of these studies show the more you vaccinate with these vaccines, the more you compromise people's immune systems:

The Lyons-Weiler paper
The Harvard study
The German study
The Denmark study
German government data (this is from The Expose)
A note to the so-called "fact checkers" of the world

PLEASE fact check this!!! I'd love to be wrong. Prove me wrong. Tell us all what the stopping condition is and when it was created. Show us the documents.

Please share this article

If you enjoyed this article, please share it with your friends. Especially those who took the blue pill. Maybe this will wake them up.

Like

Comment

Share

You're a free subscriber to Steve Kirsch's newsletter. For the full experience, become a paid subscriber.

Subscribe

© 2022 Steve Kirsch Unsubscribe

548 Market Street PMB 72296, San Francisco, CA 94104

Begin forwarded message:

From: Steve Kirsch's newsletter <stevekirsch@substack.com>

Date: January 6, 2022 at 11:04:31 AM EST

To: brucha613@gmail.com

Subject: ICYMI: CDC just published a paper that admits that VAERS is underreported by at least 6.5 X

Reply-To: Steve Kirsch's newsletter

substack.com>

ICYMI: CDC just published a paper that admits that VAERS is underreported by at least $6.5 \times$

This was easy to miss since it wasn't specifically called out, but a CDC paper published a week ago confirmed (once again) that VAERS is very underreported, even when people are urged to report by HHS

Steve Kirsch Jan 6

It's hard to keep up with all the data coming out of the CDC, but I try my best.

And sometimes, you strike gold every now and then.

Here's one such paper that was brought to my attention by Dr. David Wiseman. It was written by authors from the CDC and FDA. So you can trust it:

COVID-19 Vaccine Safety in Children Aged 5-11 Years — United States, November 3-December 19, 2021

Now look carefully at the second paragraph in the "Review of V-Safe data" section:

Approximately 5.1% of parents reported that their child was unable to perform normal daily activities on the day after receipt of dose 1, and 7.4% after receipt of dose 2. Approximately 1% of parents reported seeking medical care in the week after vaccination; most medical care was received via a clinic appointment (441; 0.6%). Fourteen (0.02%) children reportedly received care at a hospital; information regarding reason for hospitalization was available for five children and included appendicitis (two), vomiting and dehydration (one), respiratory infection (one), and retropharyngeal cellulitis (one). Parents and guardians of all hospitalized children were contacted; two parents completed VAERS reports, and one revealed hospitalization was reported in error.

In short, 13 child hospitalizations (since one of the 14 was a mistake), yet only 2 VAERS reports were filed.

What is stunning is that even after the parents were expressly asked by HHS to report to VAERS, only 2 complied with the advice.

Of course, these are relatively small numbers, but it is evidence that even in a best case scenario, VAERS is likely to be underreported by at least 6.5. So we know they know. They just don't want to admit it. Ever. Not even in the same paper! They just say at the end that VAERs is underreported and V-Safe is voluntary. They don't quantify it for you.

If someone questions them on this, they'll just say, "Those were small numbers and not statistically significant."

Myocarditis rates

The paper tries to make you believe that the rate of myocarditis for this age range were only 11 cases in 8 million doses. Now contrast that with the 1 in 70 rate of myocarditis actually experienced at Monte Vista Christian School, a private school near where I live (teenage boys in this case).

They want you to believe the rate is 1 in 363,000 fully vaccinated kids.

What we see in real life is as high as 1 in 70 (in teenage boys).

See a difference? The CDC is reporting a rate that is 5,000 times lower than what we are actually observing in the real world. How is that possible? The CDC doesn't want to talk to me about it.

As for death rates, I've written about this particular paper before. See New ages 5 to 11 year-old CDC study: Proof of bozos at the CDC, NY Times.

I explain in that article how the 2 reported deaths are more like 81 actual deaths (due to the more realistic 41 VAERS URF) which if we conservatively remove the 50 background deaths, you get 31 excess deaths in an average of 3M kids which is >10 deaths per million. This is 10X higher than any sane stopping condition (see this article where Paul Offit admits that a vaccine that kills 1 person per million vaccinated is unsafe for use in humans).

The CDC wrote "None of the data suggested a causal association between death and vaccination." Sure, right. Whatever you say. No autopsy. See no evil. I get it.

I wrote earlier about even if you autopsy patients, trained medical examiners fail to associate a link for a variety of reasons. But if you take the time to do a very careful autopsy, those same bodies (where the vaccine was ruled out as the cause of death by trained medical examiners) now show that 93% of the time, the vaccine was a major contributor to the cause of death.

Computing the VAERS underreporting factor (URF)

For how the VAERS underreporting factor is calculated using very large numbers, see How to verify for yourself that over 150,000 Americans have been killed by the COVID vaccines which walks you through the process and shows you that VAERS is likely underreported by at least a factor of 41. This reflects "real world" behavior.

What this means is that the adverse events that are really happening are at least 41 times worse than they are telling you.

Summary

Bottom line: it was nice to finally see the CDC admit to a minimum $6.5 \times VAERS$ underreporting factor, even if they ignored using that in the rest of the paper. At least we are making some progress. One baby step at a time.

Like

 ${\tt Comment}$

Share

You're a free subscriber to Steve Kirsch's newsletter. For the full experience, become a paid subscriber.

Subscribe

© 2022 Steve Kirsch Unsubscribe

548 Market Street PMB 72296, San Francisco, CA 94104