



New studies show that the COVID vaccines damage your immune system, likely permanently

The vaccines are making it more likely you'll be infected with Omicron 90 days after you are fully vaccinated. To keep vaccine effectiveness high against omicron, vaccination every 30 days is needed.



Steve Kirsch

Dec 24, 2021

♡ 598

💬 745



Update Jan 7, 2022: The numbers in the Denmark study described below are now confirmed by government data from Germany showing that vaccinated people are 8X more likely to develop Omicron than unvaccinated people. This is not surprising since a paper from Germany showed the same thing: the more you vaccinate, the worse it gets.

Worried about Omicron? Guess what? After 90 days, the vaccine they gave you is going to make you **MORE** likely to get infected from Omicron, not less. The longer you stay on the vaccine treadmill, the harder to get off in the future and the easier you'll make it for the virus.

In short, we've been lied to about the vaccine. It is protecting you less and less over time. While you may get a benefit for earlier variants, the benefit for other variants (and likely other diseases) is going to be negative. In short, you are getting a short term benefit against Delta, but at the expense of a degradation of your overall immunity to everything else.

These vaccines may help you win the war against a variant that may soon be rare, but the price you pay is that you make your immunity to everything else worse. It's a dumb tradeoff (especially since early treatments work so well). But the people making the laws won't believe any of the science referenced in this article, so it will continue.

Alix Mayer alerted me to this game changing tweet about a study in Denmark which instantly went viral as you can see from the number of retweets:



Ezra Levant 🍁
@ezrlevant

Holy moly.

This study shows that after three months the vaccine effectiveness of Pfizer & Moderna against Omicron is actually negative. Pfizer customers are 76.5% more likely and Moderna customers are 39.3% more likely to be infected than unvaxxed people.

medrxiv.org/content/10.110...

the effectiveness for BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 against infection with the virus from November 20 – December 12, 2021, Denmark.

Pfizer – BNT162b2			Moderna - mRNA-1273	
micron		Delta		Omicron
VE, % (95% CI)	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)
55.2 (23.5; 73.7)	171	86.7 (84.6; 88.6)	4	36.7 (-69.9; 76.4)
16.1 (-20.8; 41.7)	454	80.9 (79.0; 82.6)	8	30.0 (-41.3; 65.4)
9.8 (-10.0; 26.1)	3,177	72.8 (71.7; 73.8)	48	4.2 (-30.8; 29.8)
76.5 (-95.3; -59.5)	34,947	53.8 (52.9; 54.6)	393	-39.3 (-61.6; -20.0)
nation				
54.6 (30.4; 70.4)	453	81.2 (79.2; 82.9)	-	-

VE = vaccine effectiveness. VE estimates adjusted for 10-year age groups, sex and ethnicity. Data from Denmark, November 20 to December 12, 2021. Assumed 14 days post 2nd dose. Insufficient data to estimate effectiveness against Omicron for Moderna vaccine.

10,584 Retweets 19,863 Likes

I want to tell you what this really means and how it is being attacked.

Summary: Refuse to comply with mandates. Now.

This paper means we will need to inject people every 30 days if we want to “protect” them. Based on the harm that the vaccines do to our immune system, it’s likely that the needed interval will shorten with each booster.

If people don’t get boosted as required, they will be MORE vulnerable to Delta and Omicron than if they weren’t vaccinated. That’s what NEGATIVE vaccine efficacy means. It doesn’t mean the protection

wears off (like we were told). It means the OPPOSITE of what you were told: it means the vaccines helps the virus to infect you (by suppressing your immune system, probably permanently each time we are injected according to Dr. Ryan Cole). It means we were lied to.

9:04 ↗



< 126



Ryan >

The week 42 UK data suggest original antigenic sin and the inability of those vaccinated before getting COVID to ever form nucleocapsid antibodies and a weaker T cell response.

Data from Denmark shows those vaccinated much more likely to get COVID.

<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966v2>

I'm pretty sure aspects of the immune alteration are permanent in many. I hope not.

In short, the vaccine is like a heroin addiction: once you've had a taste of it, you are hooked: you have to continue it for life if you want

protection. If you stop it, you're a sitting duck for the virus.

What's worse is our government is mandating this now. In light of this paper, they will change the vaccine mandates to force you to get vaccinated every month or you will be fired from your job. Their next move could well be to make it illegal not to be vaccinated. This seems like where things are headed based on what is happening in other countries where they are quickly stripping away your rights to do anything without a vaccination.

And we have no clue what monthly (and later weekly) vaccination will do to your body. This has never been tested.

My advice is simple. If you have been vaccinated, you need to stop now. Do not get the booster. My friend Dr. Robert Malone is fond of repeating the old adage, "When you find yourself in a hole, *stop digging.*"

Sadly, most people cannot afford to lose their jobs, so they will get vaccinated and medical professionals will not speak out since doing so will cause them to lose their license.

The faster, safer, lower cost way to end the pandemic

Everyone needs to stop listening to the CDC now and start listening to people who have been saying to ditch the vaccines and aggressively promote early treatment with repurposed drugs.

The entire pandemic will end as soon as the CDC stops ignoring the existing early treatment protocols which have been available since March 2020 (Fareed and Tyson protocol was first available back then). Masking, vaccines, mandates, lockdowns, and social distancing were never needed. We could have (and still can) end the hospitalization and death with just one thing: early treatment. Just like Japan has done. But the CDC refused to listen.

What the paper said in detail

First, the link in the tweet is to the outdated version of the paper. [The current version can be found here.](#)

Start at the comments, both from social media and also from medrxiv readers.

Check out the social media portion of the comments

Here are some comments (on old and new version of the paper):

- So assume the results you like (high VE for recent vaccination) are causal, but hand wave confounders at results you don't like (negative VE for distant vaccination)? Science?
- This is a superb paper, especially the careful approach to CNV calling and the Bayesian methods used throughout.
- Looking at the graphs, I see both vaccines lose all effectiveness at 90 days, but worse, actually drop into strong negative effectiveness after that time.

This would mean that these vaccines *increase* one's chances of infection after the initial 90 days "honeymoon" period.

Am I getting this right?

If so, why are governments pushing third doses as Omicron is becoming dominant?

The key material is in [the full PDF](#):




Figure Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Delta and Omicron variants, shown separately for the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines. Vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table Estimated vaccine effectiveness for BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 against infection with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants during November 20 – December 12, 2021, Denmark.

Time since vaccine protection	Pfizer – BNT162b2				Moderna - mRNA-1273			
	Omicron		Delta		Omicron		Delta	
	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)	Cases	VE, % (95% CI)
1-30 days	14	55.2 (23.5; 73.7)	171	86.7 (84.6; 88.6)	4	36.7 (-69.9; 76.4)	29	88.2 (83.1; 91.8)
31-60 days	32	16.1 (-20.8; 41.7)	454	80.9 (79.0; 82.6)	8	30.0 (-41.3; 65.4)	116	81.5 (77.7; 84.6)
61-90 days	145	9.8 (-10.0; 26.1)	3,177	72.8 (71.7; 73.8)	48	4.2 (-30.8; 29.8)	1,037	72.2 (70.4; 74.0)
91-150 days	2,851	-76.5 (-95.3; -59.5)	34,947	53.8 (52.9; 54.6)	393	-39.3 (-61.6; -20.0)	3,459	65.0 (63.6; 66.3)
1-30 days after booster vaccination protection	29	54.6 (30.4; 70.4)	453	81.2 (79.2; 82.9)	-	-	5	82.8 (58.8; 92.9)

CI = confidence intervals; VE = vaccine effectiveness. VE estimates adjusted for 10-year age groups, sex and region (five geographical regions). Vaccine protection was assumed 14 days post 2nd dose. Insufficient data to estimate mRNA-1273 booster VE against Omicron.

The graphs above tell the story. Negative VE means the vaccine is helping the virus, not you.

So at 60 days, the protection is close to zero, so if you want to maintain protection, getting vaccinated every 30 days is required.

This isn't a vaccine at all. This is basically stimulating your immune system so it is already "geared up" to fight the virus. That's not what a vaccine is supposed to do.

Furthermore, the negative VE after 90 days means you are hooked for life and I would guess (based on the mechanism of action), that we will need shorter and shorter dosing intervals for every booster you get (since it kills off your immune system every time).

So it could very well be monthly boosters after the 2nd dose, weekly boosters after the 3rd dose, and perhaps daily boosters after the 4th dose to maintain your "immunity."

You can't stop after that because if you stop, you're in worse shape than if you never started.

The stunning conclusion of the paper

In light of the exponential rise in Omicron cases, these findings highlight the need for massive rollout of vaccinations and booster vaccinations.

All I can say is "wow." The people who wrote this paper are clearly drinking the Kool-Aid on their interpretation of what their study means.

They also wrote this (which is purely speculative with no data behind it as noted in the italics were my addition):

The negative estimates in the final period arguably *suggest* different behaviour and/or exposure patterns in the vaccinated and unvaccinated cohorts causing underestimation of the VE. This was *likely* the result of Omicron spreading rapidly initially through single (super-spreading) events causing many infections among young, vaccinated individuals.

This paper should be a wake up call: the vaccines do not work. Stop repeating the insanity.

Early treatments like the Fareed and Tyson protocol are 10X better than any new therapy, they don't "hook you," and they don't cause disability or death.

If doctors started prescribing the Fareed and Tyson protocol, we'd have virtually no deaths, and few hospitalizations. But they can't do that since medical board will take away the licenses of any physicians who prescribe ivermectin, etc. This is happening now.

We are in this mess because the NIH, CDC, FDA are corrupt and incompetent and they will not hold themselves accountable in an open debate. This has been going on for 20 years in the vaccine space... it's nothing new. The book "Evidence of harm" documents all of this. Kirby was deliberately neutral in his presentation (being non-judgmental like reporters are supposed to be), but any neutral thinking person will side against the authorities.

Why the paper went viral

So, the reason this paper went viral is because

1. It is well done,
2. It was done by PhDs in infectious disease and epidemiology,
3. The results show what is really happening, and
4. Nobody has been able to attack the paper with a credible argument, even on Twitter.
5. It confirms what my team of experts has been saying about negative VE

Here are some of the ridiculous attempts to discredit the paper:



RobertNorton

@robertnorton_

[@ezrlevant](#) The article says that it's not peer reviewed and is not published in any scientific journals.

So without verification there are all kinds of claims out there about all kinds of things. We go by those principles that have passed peer review to separate fact and fiction.

December 23rd 2021

1 Retweet 126 Likes



SwingTrader

@SwingTrader1114

[@ezrlevant](#) It is impossible to have a negative VE. You cannot have a negative VE. The absolute lowest VE is zero, which infers no protection whatsoever. Your statement is completely false, including the data table that isn't even in the study you cited.

December 23rd 2021

1 Retweet 81 Likes

Supporting evidence

The paper isn't a fluke. There is lots of other evidence in support of the negative VE (and not supporting their speculative explanation for it).

Here's the data from Canada which shows that we have it backwards. We should be mandating "no vaccines" in order to keep your job and fire all the vaccinated people because these people are the most risky.


In Ontario in the last few days, cases per capita among the vaccinated have skyrocketed above cases per capita among the unvaccinated. Clearly, mandates are nonsensical at this point, because the entire case for restricting unvaccinated people is their presumed higher per capita infection rate.

Here's the UK data showing the same thing. We need to flip around the mandates ASAP.



Robert W Malone, MD
@RWMaloneMD

It looks like the U.K. data may support the vaccine-enhanced infection issue both FDA and I have raised.



Triple-Vaccinated More Than FOUR Times As Likely to Test Positive For Omicron Than Unvaccinated, Data Shows – The Daily Sceptic

According to new ONS data, the triple-vaccinated are 4.5 times more likely to test positive for Omicron than the unvaccinated. The double-vaccinated, meanwhile, are 2.3 times more likely to have Omicron.

dailysceptic.org

December 22nd 2021

1,257 Retweets 2,039 Likes

Other supporting data:

1. [On COVID vaccines: why they cannot work, and irrefutable evidence of their causative role in deaths after vaccination and the video about that paper.](#) If the vaccines don't kill you, they will leave you weaker. Over 90% of the deaths investigated

after vaccination were found to have been caused by the vaccines. There is no other explanation.

2. The BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 reprograms both adaptive and innate immune responses
An excellent article by Jessica Rose which explains the underlying mechanism for why we are seeing the effect that the more you vaccinate, the more you make things worse for people. The vaccine damages both your adaptive and innate immune systems.
3. Vaccine efficacy declines to be negative in the UK (Expose article)
4. Booster protection fades within 10 weeks against Omicron: UK study
5. Booster shots protect against symptomatic Omicron infection for about 10 weeks, study finds — which could mean more doses for some in 2022
6. This substack article looks at the Danish study (described here) and the UK data. Note that the VE numbers in the two studies are different because if you separate out Omicron, you get a very different picture of VE compared to analyses that don't separate out VE by variant.
7. Dr. Ron Brown – Opinion Editorial
December 23, 2021
Ontario, Canada reported 5,790 new COVID-19 cases on Thursday, December 23, 2021, setting the province's one-day

record for new cases since the beginning of the pandemic: Ontario: Case numbers | COVID-19. Thursday's numbers include 971 unvaccinated new cases compared to 4,392 fully vaccinated new cases—a rapidly rising trend over the past several weeks clearly showing that most new cases are now occurring in fully vaccinated people.

Please help us to spread the word by sharing this article on social media.

Subscribe to Steve Kirsch's newsletter

By Steve Kirsch · Thousands of subscribers

I write about COVID vaccine safety and efficacy, corruption, censorship, mandates, masking, and early treatments. America is being misled by formerly trusted authorities.

Subscribe

♡ 598

💬 745

➦ Share



Write a comment...



CALIN CULIANU 19 hr ago

According to mass formation theory, the worse it gets the more they will just blame the unvaccinated. None of it will make any sense to a rational person, but that doesn't matter. Expect places like Canada to just blame it all on the unvaccinated and do things to further violate their human rights including sending them to camps and forced vaccinations. Some places are just completely far gone.

♡ Reply



Stephen Brilliant 23 hr ago

None of doctors is able to tell us to take more Natural food, fruits, herbal drinks, exercise regularly under the sun, etc.

When we work at home, nobody is exposed to

sunlight. Most of us will get sick easily after 3 to 6 months.

♡ 3 Reply

743 more comments...

Ready for more?

Type your email...

Subscribe

© 2022 Steve Kirsch. See [privacy](#), [terms](#) and [information collection notice](#)

 **Publish on Substack**

Steve Kirsch's newsletter is on [Substack](#) – the place for independent writing